

SPARK 2020 – Indicators and Marking Method

I. Livelihood created

Sl. No	Component	Indicators	Calculation	Data Point	Weightage (Marks)	Marking
1	ESTP (For FY 2019-20)	Number of batches having Placement more than 70% (A) Vs Number of batches certified till December -2019 (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	6	(A/B) * Weightage
2		Number of candidates assessed (A) Vs Target to be trained (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	3	(A/B) * Weightage
3		Number of batches received 2 nd instalment (A) Vs Number of Batches assessed (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	3	(A/B) * Weightage
4		Number of candidates formed into batches under MoU with IPSC (A) Vs Target (Revised) (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	3	(A/B) * Weightage
5		Number of TPs having placement lower than the National Average (A) Vs total number of active TPs (B)	Pro-rata basis	(-Ve) MIS	(-)3	(A/B) * Weightage
6		From FY 2014-15 to 2017-18 (- ve marking)	No. of candidates certified (A) Vs No. of candidates attached (B) (FY 2014-15 to 2017-18)	Above 90%- 0 Mark, Below 90% = Pro-rata basis	MIS	25% of Net marks obtained (by adding marks of Sl. 1 to Sl. 5)
7	No. of candidates placed (A) Vs No. of candidates certified (B) (FY 2014-15 to 2017-18)		Above 90%- 0 Mark, Below 90% = Pro-rata basis	MIS	25% of Net marks obtained (by adding marks of Sl. 1 to Sl. 5)	(B-A)/B * Weightage
		Total			15	

II. Livelihood created – SEP

Sl.No	Component	Indicators	Calculation	Data Point	Weightage (Marks)	Marking
1	SEP (For FY 2019-20)	No. of Loans disbursed in SEP (I & G) (A) Vs Target (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	2.5	(A/B) * Weightage
2		No. of loan applications recommended by task force (A) Vs Target (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	2.5	(A/B) * Weightage
3		No. of SHGs received bank linkages (A) Vs Target (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	2.0	(A/B) * Weightage
4		No. of SHGs received bank linkages (A) Vs No. of SHGs formed (Cumulative upto 30.9.2019) (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	2.0	(A/B) * Weightage
5	SEP (For FY 2019-20)	No. of SEP loans (as in MIS) (B) receiving interest subvention through PAiSA portal (A)	Pro-rata basis	PAiSA	5.0	(A/B) * Weightage
6.		No. of Individuals/Groups undergone EDP training (A) Vs Total no of beneficiaries received loan from banks (B) (Cumulative)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1.0	(A/B) * Weightage
		Total			15	

III. Women brought under SHG fold

Sl.No	Component	Indicators	Calculation	Data Point	Weightage (Marks)	Marking
1	SM&ID	No. of urban poor HH brought into SHGs fold (cumulative) (A) VS No. of urban poor HH as per State (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	2.0	(A/B) * Weightage
2		No. of uncovered urban poor HH brought under SHGs in FY 2019-20 VS No of urban poor HH uncovered as on 31.3.2019	Pro-rata basis	MIS	4.0	(A/B) * Weightage
3		Fast disbursal during 2019-20 of RF to SHGs formed up to 31.12.2019	Graded basis	MIS	3.0	Time taken for disbursal of RF upto: 6 months – 3 marks; 6 to 9 months – 2 marks; and 9 to 12 months – 1 mark (Mark under each of the category to be added)
4		No of corrections of SHG data made (A) Vs Total errors given for rectification (Bank information) shared with PAiSA portal (B)	Pro-rata basis	PAiSA	3.0	(A/B) * Weightage
5		No of leftover SHGs brought into ALFs during 2019-20 (A) VS No of leftover SHGs as on 1.4.2019 (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1.0	(A/B) * Weightage
6.		No. of ULBs with > 1 Lakh population covered with	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1.0	(A/B) * Weightage

		registered CLFs VS Total No. of ULBs with 1 lakh population				
7.		No. of ULBs having Shehri Sahbhagita Manch established vs No. of ULBs	Pro-rata basis	Offline	1.0	(A/B) * Weightage
		Total			15	

IV. Support to Urban Street Vendors (SUSV)

Sl. No	Component	Indicators	Calculation	Data Point	Weightage (Marks)	Marking
<i>States which are yet to notify Rules & Schemes under Street Vendors Act, 2014 will not be given marks under SUSV parameters</i>						
1.	SUSV	No. of ULBs completed survey (A) Vs. Total No. of ULBs in State/UT (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	8%	(A/B) * Weightage
2.		No. of million plus cities in state/ UT where survey not completed (A) Vs. Total No. of million plus cities (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	(-) 5%	(A/B) * Weightage
3.		No. of ULBs formed regular TVCs as per Scheme (A) Vs. total No. of ULBs where survey completed (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	4%	(A/B) * Weightage
4.		No. of ULBs in the state covered under Grievance Redressal Committee as notified by State/UT (A) Vs. Total No. of ULBs where survey completed (B)	Pro-rata basis	Offline	1%	(A/B) * Weightage
5.		Display of street vendors survey details in public domain in ULBs (link to be shared on DAY-NULM portal) Vs. No. of ULBs where survey completed*	YES/NO	MIS	1%	Yes = 1 No = 0
6.		No. of certificates of vending (CoV) issued (A) Vs. No. of street vendors identified in all ULBs in survey (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1%	(A/B) * Weightage

7.		No. of ID cards issued (A) Vs. No. of street vendors identified in all ULBs in survey (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1%	(A/B) * Weightage
8.		No. ULBs where City Street Vending Plan completed (A) Vs. No. of ULBs having regular TVCs (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1%	(A/B) * Weightage
9.		No. of vending markets functional as per approved City Street Vending Plan (A) Vs. No. of ULBs having regular TVCs (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1%	(A/B) * Weightage
10.		Progress made in identified model ULBs : <i>(States which have identified model ULBs will only be given weightage under this section)</i>				
A	SUSV	No. of CoV issued (A) Vs. No. of street vendors identified through survey in model ULB(s) (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	0.5	(A/B) * Weightage
B		No. of ID Cards issued (A) Vs. No. of street vendors identified through survey in model ULB(s) (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	0.5	(A/B) * Weightage
C		No. of street vendors trained (A) Vs. No. of street vendors identified through survey in model ULB(s) (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	0.5	(A/B) * Weightage
D		No. of street vendors allotted place for vending in approved vending zones (A) Vs. No. of street vendors identified in model ULB(s) (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	0.5	(A/B) * Weightage
		Total			20	

VI. City Livelihood centres in Million +/Smart Cities

Sl. No	Component	Indicators	Calculation	Data Point	Weightage (Marks)	Marking
1.	SMID/CLC	No. of CLCs received 2nd tranche Vs Total No. of ULBs	Pro-rata basis	MIS	4%	(A/B) * Weightage
2.		No. of CLCs having min. 1000 Sq. Ft. space Vs Total No. of CLCs	Pro-rata basis	MIS	0.5%	(A/B) * Weightage
3.		No. of CLCs received 3rd tranche (services rolled out as per action plan) Vs Total No. of CLCs	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1`%	(A/B) * Weightage
4.		No. of CLCs with bank accounts Vs Total No. of CLCs in ULB	Pro-rata basis	MIS	0.5%	(A/B) * Weightage
5.		No. of CLCs which have entered into service contract / work order with any entity Vs No. of CLCs in the state	Pro-rata basis	Offline	0.5%	Yes = 1 No = 0
6.		No. of CLCs got accounts for 2018-19 audited Vs Total No. of CLCs	Pro-rata basis	Offline	1.0%	(A/B) * Weightage
7.		No. of CLCs managed by third party other than ULB staff (CLF, ALF, etc.) Vs Total No. of CLCs (Proof: Formal agreement copy)	Pro-rata basis	MIS/Offline	0.5%	(A/B) * Weightage
8.		No. of registered CLCs functional (3rd tranche received) in Million plus /Smart cities in states/UTs Vs Total No. of Million plus/Smart cities of the state	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1.5%	(A/B) * Weightage
9.		No. of registered CLCs brought on e-market portal Vs Total No. of CLCs	Pro-rata basis	Offline	0.5%	(A/B) * Weightage
		Total			10	

VII. Other parameters (including Financial)

Sl.No	Component	Indicators	Calculation	Data Point	Weightage (Marks)	Marking
1.	Financial	Cumulative Expenditure from FY 2014-15 to FY 2017-18 as per Audit Reports (A) Vs Total central funds released during same period including funds available from SJSRY (B)	Pro-rata basis	Offline	4%	(A/B) * Weightage
2.		Time taken for release of Central funds (disbursed till 31 Dec 2019) by State to SULM*	Graded	Offline	3%	Within 1 month = 3 mark; Within 2 month = 2 Within 3 month = 1
3.		Time taken for release of State matching share to SULM**	Graded	Offline	2%	After receipt of Central share by State: Within 1 month= 3 marks; Within 2 months = 2 marks; Within 3 months = 1 mark.
4.		Audit Report submitted to Ministry from FY 2014-15 to FY 2017-18	Pro-rata basis	Offline	(-) 2%	
5.		Audit Report submitted to Ministry for FY 2018-19	Yes/No	Offline	2%	Yes = 2 No = 0
6.		Separate bank account opened for SUH component at state level	Yes/No	Offline	1%	Yes = 1 No = 0

7.		Financial transaction through electronic mode (DBT) in MIS (A) vs. Total Transaction (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1%	(A/B) * Weightage
8.	SUH	No. of shelters operationalized in top 5 ULBs (A) vs. urban population (B) (100 capacity shelter for every 1 lakh urban population)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	4%	(A/B) * Weightage
9.		No. of shelters operationalized (A) vs. sanctioned [Cumulative] (B)	Pro-rata basis	Offline	3%	(A/B) * Weightage
10.		States/UTs not completed 3 rd Party Survey	Yes/No	Offline	(-) 2	Yes = 0 No = (-) 2
11.		States/UTs identified model shelters	Yes/No	Offline	1%	Yes = 1 No = 0
12.		Uploading of homeless survey details in public domain [States/UTs to share website link]	Yes/No	MIS	1%	Yes = 1 No = 0
13.		Visit of Student Volunteers organised in last quarter of current FY (A) Vs. Shelters functional (B)	Pro-rata basis	MIS	1%	(A/B) * Weightage
14		CBT	State level Governing Council Meeting not held during FY 2019-20	Yes/No	MIS	(-) 4
15	EC Meeting held (at least one) at state level during FY 2019-20		Yes/No	MIS	2%	Yes = 2 No = 0
		Total			25	

